UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,860	12/15/2005 Eiko Yoshino		04783/030001	2352
22511 OSHA LIANG	7590 09/11/200 L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
1221 MCKINN		VANCHY JR, MICHAEL J		
SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX	ζ 77010	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.com buta@oshaliang.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/560,860	YOSHINO, EIKO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MICHAEL VANCHY JR	2624		

	MICHAEL VANCHY JR	2624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavir eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth intertheater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the property o	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS		=20 (b (1 b	
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloc) They are not deemed to place the application in better 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a control NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.			
/Samir A. Ahmed/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner takes into account the arguments made by the Applicant, but stands on the previous rejection. Based upon the claim language as written, a "paper medium" can be placed on a "handwritten input device" (such as the one found in Luman et al. 7,129,934 B2) and as the person writes on the paper medium placed over the tablet, the input device recognizes the content of notes handwritten on the paper medium. This method is stated by the Applicant in [0040, 0041, and 0043]. The data which has the background on it can be sent to the tablet in Luman so that it matches the background data found written on the "paper medium." Thus, the previous rejection from the last office action stands. As for the argument that the Applicant's invention acts as a "receiver" and not as an "input device" is also not persuasive. The tablets used in Luman can send and receive information from other tablets or the main computer system. Thus, the tablets can be used as both receivers and input devices (Fig. 2).

Continuation of 13. Other: The rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement, has been withdrawn based upon Applicant's remarks.